

GOOD REDUCTION OF K3 SURFACES

CHRISTIAN LIEDTKE AND YUYA MATSUMOTO

ABSTRACT. Let K be the field of fractions of a local Henselian DVR $\mathcal{O}_K$ with perfect residue field k . Assuming potential semi-stable reduction, we show that an unramified Galois-action on second ℓ -adic cohomology of a K3 surface over K implies that the surface has good reduction after a finite and *unramified* extension. We give examples where this unramified extension is really needed. Moreover, we give applications to good reduction after tame extensions and Kuga–Satake Abelian varieties. On our way, we settle existence and termination of certain semi-stable flops in mixed characteristic, and study group actions and their quotients on models of varieties.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a local Henselian DVR of characteristic zero with field of fractions K and perfect residue field k , whose characteristic exponent is $p \geq 1$. For example, $\mathcal{O}_K$ could be $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ or the ring of integers in a p -adic field. Given a variety X that is smooth and proper over K , one can ask whether X has *good reduction*, that is, whether there exists an algebraic space

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$$

with generic fiber X that is smooth and proper over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Good reduction and Galois-actions. A necessary condition for good reduction of X is that the Galois-actions on all ℓ -adic cohomology groups are *unramified*. This means the following: let $G_K := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K , let I_K be its inertia subgroup, and fix a prime $\ell \neq p$. Being unramified means that for all m , the natural representation

$$\rho_m : G_K \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut} (H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$$

satisfies $\rho_m(I_K) = \{\operatorname{id}\}$.

Curves and Abelian varieties. By a famous result of Serre and Tate [ST68], generalizing results of Néron, Ogg, and Shafarevich for elliptic curves, the Galois-action detects the reduction type of Abelian varieties:

Theorem (Serre–Tate). *Let X be an Abelian variety over K . Then, X has good reduction if and only if the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is unramified.*

Date: February 24, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J28, 11G25, 11F80, 14E30.

On the other hand, it is not too difficult to give counter-examples to such a result for curves of genus ≥ 2 . However, Oda [Od95] showed that good reduction can be detected by the outer Galois-action on the étale fundamental group. We refer the interested reader to Section 1.4 for references, examples, and details.

Kulikov–Nakkajima–Persson–Pinkham models. Before coming to the results of this article, we have to make one crucial assumption.

Assumption $(\star)$. A K3 surface X over K satisfies $(\star)$ if there exists a finite field extension L/K such that X_L admits a model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ that is a regular algebraic space with trivial $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L}$, and whose geometric special fiber is a normal crossing divisor.

For a family of complex K3 surfaces over a pointed disk, the analogous assumption always holds, and the special fibers of the corresponding models have been classified by Kulikov [Ku77], Persson [Pe77], and Persson–Pinkham [PP81]. The corresponding classification in mixed characteristic (assuming the existence of such models) is due to Nakkajima [Nakk00]. If the expected results on resolution of singularities and toroidalization of morphisms were known to hold in mixed characteristic, then potential semi-stable reduction would hold for K3 surfaces, and then, Kawamata’s semistable MMP in mixed characteristic [Ka94] together with Artin’s results [Ar74] on simultaneous resolution of singularities would imply $(\star)$.

In particular, $(\star)$ holds always if the residue field k is of characteristic zero, and in case of positive characteristic, using work of Maulik [Mau14], we have at least the following.

Theorem. *Let X be a K3 surface over K such that*

- (1) $p = 1$, or
- (2) *it admits a very ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ with $p > \mathcal{L}^2 + 4$, or*
- (3) $p \geq 11$ and X is a smooth quartic in $\mathbb{P}_K^3$, or
- (4) $p \geq 7$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^2$ is a double cover branched over a smooth sextic.

Then, X satisfies $(\star)$.

We refer to Section 2.1 for details.

K3 surfaces. In this article, we establish a Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich type result for K3 surfaces. Important steps were already taken by the second named author in [Mat15]. The main result of this article is the following.

Theorem. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$ that satisfies $(\star)$. If the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for some $\ell \neq p$, then*

- (1) *There exists a model of X that is a projective scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$, whose geometric special fiber is a K3 surface with at worst RDP (rational double point) singularities.*
- (2) *There exists a finite and unramified extension L/K such that X_L has good reduction over L .*

In [HT14], a similar result is obtained for K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ using quite different methods from ours. As in the case of Abelian varieties in [ST68], we obtain the following independence of ℓ .

Corollary. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$ that satisfies $(\star)$. Then, the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for one $\ell \neq p$ if and only if it is so for all $\ell \neq p$.*

In [ST68], Serre and Tate showed that if an Abelian variety over K of dimension g with $p > 2g + 1$ has potential good reduction, then good reduction can actually be achieved after a *tame* extension. Here, we establish the following analog for K3 surfaces.

Corollary. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \geq 23$ and potential good reduction. Then, good reduction can be achieved after a tame extension.*

It is important to note that the conclusion of the theorem cannot be strengthened, as we will show with explicit examples.

Theorem. *For every prime $p \geq 5$, there exists a K3 surface $X = X(p)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, such that*

- (1) *the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$,*
- (2) *X has good reduction over the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$, but*
- (3) *X does not have good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Kuga–Satake Abelian varieties. As an application, we compare the reduction behavior of a K3 surface to that of its Kuga–Satake Abelian variety. Let us recall that Kuga and Satake associated in [KS67] to a polarized K3 surface $(X, \mathcal{L})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ a polarized Abelian variety $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension 2^{19} . In case $(X, \mathcal{L})$ is defined over some field k , Rizov [Ri10] and Madapusi Pera [Mad13] established the existence of $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ over some finite extension of k . This recalled, we have the following result.

Theorem. *Let $(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a polarized K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$.*

- (1) *If X has good reduction, then $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be defined over an unramified extension of K , and it has good reduction.*
- (2) *Assume that X satisfies $(\star)$. Let L/K be a field extension such that $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be defined over L . If $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ has good reduction over L , then X has good reduction over an unramified extension of L .*

Organization. This article is organized as follows:

In Section 1, we recall a couple of general facts on models and unramified Galois actions on ℓ -adic cohomology. We also recall the classical Serre–Tate theorem for Abelian varieties, and give explicit examples of curves of genus ≥ 2 , where the Galois representation does not detect bad reduction.

In Section 2, we review semi-stable reduction of K3 surfaces, Kawamata’s semi-stable MMP in mixed characteristic, the Kulikov–Nakkajima–Pinkham–Persson list, and the the second named author’s results on potential good reduction of K3 surfaces in terms of unramified Galois actions. We also discuss shortly the case of Enriques surfaces.

In Section 3, we establish existence and termination of certain semi-stable flops, which we need later on to equip our models with suitable invertible sheaves.

Section 4 is the technical heart of this article: given a finite Galois extension L/K with group G , and a model of X_L over $\mathcal{O}_L$, we study extensions of the G -action X_L to this model. Then, we study quotients of models by G -actions, where the most difficult case arises when p divides the order of G (wild ramification).

In Section 5 we establish the main results of this article: a Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich type theorem for K3 surfaces, good reduction over tame extensions, as well as the connection to Kuga–Satake Abelian varieties.

Finally, in Section 6, we give explicit examples of K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with unramified Galois-actions on ℓ -adic cohomology that do not have good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but only after an unramified extension.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure for us to thank François Charles, Christopher Hacon, Annabelle Hartmann, Brendan Hassett, Tetsushi Ito, Keerthi Madapusi Pera, Daves Maulik as well as Yoichi Mieda for discussions and comments. The second named author thanks the department of mathematics at the TU München for kind hospitality while visiting the first named author there. The second named author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26247002.

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

Throughout the whole article, we fix the following notations

$\mathcal{O}_K$	a local Henselian DVR of characteristic zero
K	its field of fractions
k	the residue field, which we assume to be perfect
$p \geq 1$	the characteristic exponent of k
ℓ	a prime different from p
G_K, G_k	the absolute Galois groups $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$

If L/K is a field extension, and X is a scheme over K , we abbreviate the base-change $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} K} \mathrm{Spec} L$ by X_L .

1. GENERALITIES

In this section, we recall a couple of general facts on models, Galois actions on ℓ -adic cohomology, and Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich type theorems.

1.1. Models. We start with the definition of various types of models.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a smooth and proper variety over K .

- (1) A *model of X over $\mathcal{O}_K$* is an algebraic space that is flat and proper over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ and whose generic fiber is isomorphic to X .
- (2) We say that X has *good reduction* if there exists a model of X that is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

- (3) We say that X has *semi-stable reduction* if there exists a regular model of X , whose geometric special fiber is a reduced normal crossing divisor with smooth components. (Sometimes, this notion is also called *strictly* semi-stable reduction.)
- (4) We say that X has *potential* good (resp. semi-stable) reduction if there exists a finite field extension L/K such that X_L has good (resp. semi-stable) reduction.

Remark 1.2. Models of curves and Abelian varieties can be treated entirely within the category of schemes, see, for example, [Liu02, Chapter 10] and [BLR90]. However, even if X is a K3 surface over K with good reduction, then it may not be possible to find a smooth model in the category of schemes, and we refer to [Mat15, Section 5.2] for explicit examples. In particular, we are forced to work with algebraic spaces when studying models of K3 surfaces.

1.2. Inertia and monodromy. The G_K -action on $\overline{K}$ induces an action on $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and by reduction, an action on $\overline{k}$. This gives rise to a continuous and surjective homomorphism $G_K \rightarrow G_k$ of profinite groups. Thus, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I_K \rightarrow G_K \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1,$$

whose kernel I_K is called the *inertia group*. In fact, I_K is the absolute Galois group of the maximal unramified extension of K . If $p \geq 2$, then the *wild inertia group* P_K is the normal subgroup of G_K that is the absolute Galois group of the maximal tame extension of K . We note that P_K is the unique p -Sylow subgroup of G_K , and that it sits in a short exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow P_K \rightarrow I_K \rightarrow \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \rightarrow 1.$$

More precisely, let π be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let μ_{ℓ^n} be the group of ℓ^n -th roots of unity. Then, the projection of I_K onto its maximal pro- ℓ -quotient $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$ induced by (1) can be written down explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} t_\ell : I_K &\rightarrow \varprojlim \mu_{\ell^n} = \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \\ \sigma &\mapsto \frac{\sigma(\pi^{1/\ell^n})}{\pi^{1/\ell^n}} \end{aligned}$$

Next, let X be a smooth and proper variety over K . By functoriality, G_K acts on all ℓ -adic cohomology groups $H_{\text{ét}}^m := H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. More precisely, these actions are quasi-unipotent by Grothendieck's monodromy theorem [SGA7, Exposé I, Théorème 1.2]. Thus, there exists a finite field extension L/K such that the induced I_L -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m$ is unipotent and factors through t_ℓ . In particular, there exists a unique nilpotent map $N : H_{\text{ét}}^m(1) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^m$ of G_K -representations such that $\sigma \in I_L$ acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^m$ as $\exp(t_\ell(\sigma)N)$. Then, the kernels of the powers of N give rise to a filtration on $H_{\text{ét}}^m$, and this filtration is G_K -invariant.

Definition 1.3. Consider the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- (1) The G_K -action is called *unramified* if I_K acts trivially.
- (2) The nilpotent map N is called the *monodromy operator*, and the induced filtration on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is called the *monodromy filtration*.

The connection between these two notions is as follows: if the G_K -action is unramified, then the monodromy filtration is trivial. Conversely, if the monodromy filtration is trivial, then there exists a finite field extension L/K such that the induced G_L -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified.

For an Abelian variety X , it follows from the results of Serre and Tate [ST68] that the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for *one* $\ell \neq p$, if and only if it is so for *all* $\ell \neq p$. In Corollary 5.4, we will show a similar result for K3 surfaces. In general, it is not known whether being unramified depends on the choice of ℓ , but it is expected not to. In any case, a relation between good reduction and unramified Galois-actions on ℓ -adic cohomology is given by the following well-known result, which follows from the proper smooth base change theorem in [SGA4]. (There, it is stated for schemes. In case the model is an algebraic space, we refer to [LZ14, Theorem 0.1.1] or [Ar73, Chapitre VII].)

Theorem 1.4. *If X has good reduction, then the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all m and for all $\ell \neq p$.*

In view of this, it is natural to ask for the converse direction. Whenever such a converse holds for some class of varieties over K , we obtain a purely representation-theoretic criterion to determine whether such a variety admits a model over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with good reduction.

1.3. Abelian varieties. A classical converse to Theorem 1.4 is the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion for elliptic curves. Later, Serre and Tate generalized it to Abelian varieties of arbitrary dimension.

Theorem 1.5 (Serre–Tate [ST68]). *An Abelian variety A over K has good reduction if and only if the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for one (resp. all) $\ell \neq p$.*

1.4. Higher genus curves, part 1. Now, the converse to Theorem 1.4 already fails for curves of higher genus. Let X be a smooth and proper curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over K . If X has a K -rational point, then there is a natural embedding

$$j : X \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$$

of X into its Jacobian, and then, j^* induces a G_K -equivariant isomorphism between $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\text{Jac}(X)_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. In fact, such a G_K -equivariant isomorphism also exists if X has no K -rational point. By the theorem of Serre and Tate, an unramified G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for all m is equivalent to the good reduction of $\text{Jac}(X)$. The following lemma gives a criterion that ensures the latter.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a smooth and proper curve over K that admits a semi-stable scheme model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ such that the dual graph associated to the components of its special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a tree. Then, $\text{Jac}(X)$ has good reduction and the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all m .*

PROOF. By [BLR90, Section 9.2, Example 8], $\text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}_0/k}^0$ is an Abelian variety, which implies that $\text{Jac}(X)$ has good reduction. Thus, the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all m . $\square$

Using this lemma it is easy to produce counter-examples to Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich type results for higher genus curves.

Proposition 1.7. *If $p \neq 2$, then, for infinitely many $g \geq 2$, there exists a smooth and proper curve X of genus g over K with unramified G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for all m and all $\ell \neq p$, which does not allow a model with good reduction over any finite extension of K .*

PROOF. We give examples for $g \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g over K , which is one of the examples of [Liu02, Example 10.1.30] with the extra assumptions of [Liu02, Example 10.3.46] (here, we need the assumption $g \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). Then, X has stable reduction over K , as well as over every finite extension field L/K . In this example, the special fiber of the stable model is the union of a curve of genus 1 and a curve of genus $(g - 1)$ meeting transversally in one point. In particular, neither X nor any base-change X_L have good reduction, but since the assumptions of Lemma 1.6 are fulfilled, the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all m . $\square$

We stress that these results are well-known to the experts, but since we were not able to find explicit references and explicit examples, we decided to include them here.

1.5. Higher genus curves, part 2. If X is a smooth and proper curve of genus ≥ 2 , then one can also study the outer Galois-action on its étale fundamental group, which turns out to be rich enough to detect good reduction. More precisely, there exists a short exact sequence of étale fundamental groups

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow G_K \rightarrow 1.$$

For every prime ℓ , this exact sequence gives rise to a well-defined homomorphism from G_K to the outer automorphism group of the pro- ℓ -completion $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}})_\ell$ of the geometric étale fundamental group.

$$\rho_\ell : G_K \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}})_\ell).$$

In analogy to Definition 1.3, we will say that this action is *unramified* if $\rho_\ell(I_K) = \{1\}$. We note that the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ arises from the residual action of ρ_ℓ on the Abelianization of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}})_\ell$. After these preparations, we have the following Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich type theorem

for curves of higher genus, which is in terms of fundamental groups rather than cohomology groups.

Theorem 1.8 (Oda [Od95]). *Let X be a smooth and proper curve of genus ≥ 2 over K . Then, X has good reduction if and only if the outer Galois-action ρ_ℓ is unramified for one (resp. all) $\ell \neq p$.*

2. K3 SURFACES AND THEIR MODELS

In this section, we first introduce the crucial Assumption $(\star)$, which ensures the existence of suitable models for K3 surfaces. These models were studied by Kulikov, Nakkajima, Persson, and Pinkham. Then, we show how $(\star)$ would follow from a combination of potential semi-stable reduction (which is not known in mixed characteristic, but expected) and the semistable MMP in mixed characteristic, and we give some conditions under which $(\star)$ does hold. After that, we discuss the monodromy filtration in the cohomology of these models and review the second named author's results on potential good reduction of K3 surfaces. Finally, we show by example that these results do not carry over to Enriques surfaces. Most of the results of this section should be known to the experts.

2.1. Kulikov–Nakkajima–Persson–Pinkham models. We first introduce the crucial assumption that we shall make from now on.

Assumption $(\star)$. A K3 surface X over K satisfies $(\star)$ if there exists a finite field extension L/K such that X_L admits a semi-stable model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ (in the sense of Definition 1.1) such that $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_L}$ is trivial.

For a family of complex algebraic K3 surfaces over a pointed disk, the analogous assumption always holds, and there, the special fibers of the corresponding models have been classified by Kulikov [Ku77], Persson [Pe77], and Persson–Pinkham [PP81]. The main point why $(\star)$ is not known to hold is that potential semi-stable reduction is not known: using resolution of singularities in mixed characteristic (recently announced by Cossart–Piltant [CP14]) and embedded resolution (Cossart–Jannsen–Saito [CJS13]), we obtain a model $\mathcal{X}$ with special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ having simple normal crossing support, but its components have multiplicities, and we do not know how to get rid of multiplicities (unless all of them are prime to p). In case the residue characteristic is zero ($p = 1$), these results are classically known to hold, see the discussion in [KM98, Section 7.2] for details.

The following result shows that $(\star)$ essentially holds once we assume potential semi-stable reduction. More precisely, we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2, 3$. Assume that there exists*

- (1) *some finite field extension L'/K , and*
- (2) *some smooth surface Y over L' that is birational to $X_{L'}$, and*
- (3) *some scheme model $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ of Y with semi-stable reduction.*

Then, X satisfies $(\star)$, and we can choose $L \supseteq L'$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ be as in the statement. Since $p \neq 2, 3$, we may apply Kawamata's semistable MMP [Ka94] (see also [KM98, Section 7.1] for $p = 1$) that produces a scheme $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ with trivial $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{O}_{L'}}$, that is a model of $X_{L'}$, and such that $\mathcal{Z}$ is regular outside a finite set Σ of terminal singularities. Outside Σ , this model is already a semi-stable model. From the classification of terminal singularities in [Ka94, Theorem 4.4], it follows that the geometric special fiber $(\mathcal{Z}_0)_{\bar{k}}$ is irreducible around points of Σ , and that it acquires RDP singularities in these points. Thus, after some finite field extension L/L' , there exists a simultaneous resolution $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ of these singularities by [Ar74]. This $\mathcal{X}$ may exist only as an algebraic space, and it satisfies $(\star)$. $\square$

As already mentioned above, the assumptions are fulfilled if $p = 1$, see [KKMS73] or the discussion in [KM98, Section 7.2]. If $p \geq 2$, then they are fulfilled for K3 surfaces admitting very ample invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}$ with $p > \mathcal{L}^2 + 4$ by a result of Maulik [Mau14, Section 4]. With some extra work the bounds on p can be slightly improved for double sextics, see [Mat15]. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a K3 surface over K such that*

- (1) $p = 1$, or
- (2) *it admits a very ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ with $p > \mathcal{L}^2 + 4$, or*
- (3) $p \geq 11$ and X is a smooth quartic in $\mathbb{P}_K^3$, or
- (4) $p \geq 7$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^2$ is a double cover branched over a smooth sextic.

Then, X satisfies $(\star)$.

Over $\mathbb{C}$, Kulikov [Ku77], Persson [Pe77], and Pinkham–Persson [PP81] classified the special fibers of the models asserted by $(\star)$. We refer to [Mo81, Section 1] for an overview, and to Nakajima's extension [Nakk00] of these results to mixed characteristic. For K3 surfaces, the classification is as follows.

Theorem 2.3 (Kulikov, Nakajima, Persson, Pinkham). *Let X be a K3 surface over K , assume that it satisfies $(\star)$, and let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ be a model as asserted by $(\star)$. Then, the geometric special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{0}} := (\mathcal{X}_0)_{\bar{k}}$ is one of the following:*

- (I) $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{0}}$ is smooth.
- (II) $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{0}}$ is singular but has no triple points. In this case, its components form a chain, whose interior components are elliptic ruled surfaces and the end components are rational surfaces. The double curves are elliptic.
- (III) $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{0}}$ has triple points. In this case, its components are rational surfaces, and the associated dual graph Γ corresponds to a triangulation of the two-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.

2.2. A criterion for potential good reduction. Let X be a smooth and proper surface over K , and assume that there exists a finite extension L/K such that X_L admits a semi-stable model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$. We denote by Y_i the components of the geometric special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$, and set

$$\mathcal{X}_0^{(1)} = \coprod_i Y_i, \quad \mathcal{X}_0^{(2)} = \coprod_{i < j} Y_i \cap Y_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_0^{(3)} = \coprod_{i < j < k} Y_i \cap Y_j \cap Y_k.$$

We denote by Γ the dual graph of the configuration formed by the Y_i . By [St76], [RZ82], and [Naka00], there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{-r, m+r} = \bigoplus_{k \geq \max\{0, -r\}} H_{\text{ét}}^{m-r-2k} \left(\mathcal{X}_0^{(r+2k+1)}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-r-k) \right) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

the *Steenbrink–Rapoport–Zink spectral sequence*. It degenerates at E_2 and the induced filtration

$$0 \subseteq W_0^m \subseteq W_1^m \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{2m}^m = H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is called the *weight filtration*. (In case $\mathcal{X}$ is merely an algebraic space and not a scheme, the existence of this spectral sequence and its E_2 -degeneration is shown in [Mat15, Proposition 2.3].) For example, W_0^m is isomorphic to the singular cohomology group $H_{\text{sing}}^m(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ tensored with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and we refer to [Pe77, Observation 2.7.1] and [Pe77, Lemma 2.7.2] for the computation of some more filtration quotients. For example, computing the weight filtration in the three cases in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a K3 surface over K , assume that it satisfies $(\star)$, and let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ be a model as asserted by $(\star)$. Then, the weight filtration on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is trivial if and only if $\mathcal{X}_0$ is smooth.*

PROOF. See, for example, [Pe77, Proposition 3.3.6]. $\square$

In Section 1.2, we introduced the *monodromy filtration* on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, which arose from the monodromy operator N , which in turn arose from the G_K -action. It is conjectured that the monodromy filtration coincides with the weight filtration, and if $\dim X \leq 2$, then this is shown in [RZ82].

Now, if X is a K3 surface over K satisfying $(\star)$, then there exists a finite field extension L/K and a model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ of X_L as asserted by $(\star)$. If the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ was unramified to start with, then the weight filtration of $\mathcal{X}$ will be trivial, and thus, by Corollary 2.4, the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ will be smooth, which implies that X_L has good reduction. Thus, we obtain the following result of the second named author and we refer the interested reader to [Mat15] for details and a complete proof.

Theorem 2.5 (Matsumoto). *Let X be a K3 surface over K that satisfies $(\star)$. If the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified, then X has potential good reduction.*

2.3. Enriques surfaces. The previous theorem does not generalize to other types of surfaces with numerically trivial canonical sheaves. For example, the G_K -action on ℓ -adic cohomology of an Enriques surface can neither exclude nor confirm any type in the Kulikov–Nakkajima–Persson–Pinkham list for these surfaces. More precisely, we have the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Y be an Enriques surface over K . Then, there exists a finite extension L/K such that the G_L -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all m .*

PROOF. We only have to show something for $m = 2$. But then, the first Chern class induces a G_K -equivariant isomorphism

$$\text{NS}(Y_{\overline{K}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{c_1} H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

After passing to a finite extension L/K , we may assume that $\text{NS}(Y_L) = \text{NS}(Y_{\overline{K}})$. But then, the G_L -action on $\text{NS}(Y_L)$ is trivial, and in particular, unramified on $H_{\text{ét}}^2$. $\square$

Moreover, the next example shows that the G_K -action on the cohomology of the K3 double cover does not detect potential good reduction, which is related to flower pot degenerations of Enriques surfaces, see [Pe77, Section 3.3] and [Pe77, Appendix 2].

Example 2.7. Fix a prime $p \geq 5$. Consider $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^5$ with coordinates x_i, y_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$, and inside it the complete intersection of 3 quadrics

$$\mathcal{X} := \begin{cases} x_1^2 - x_2^2 + y_0^2 - y_2^2 = 0 \\ x_0^2 - x_2^2 + y_1^2 - y_2^2 = 0 \\ x_0^2 - e^2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 - p^2 y_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $e \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ satisfies $e^2 \not\equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{p}$ (for example, we could take $e = 2$). Moreover, $\iota : x_i \mapsto x_i, y_i \mapsto -y_i$ defines an involution on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^5$, which induces an involution on $\mathcal{X}$. We denote by X the generic fiber of $\mathcal{X}$, and by $Y := X/\iota$ the quotient by the involution.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $p \geq 5$ and let $X \rightarrow Y$ be as in Example 2.7. Then, Y is an Enriques surface over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, such that*

- (1) *the K3 double cover X of Y has good reduction,*
- (2) *the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$,*
- (3) *Y has semi-stable reduction of flower pot type, but*
- (4) *Y does not have potential good reduction.*

PROOF. A straight forward computation shows that X is smooth over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and that ι acts without fixed points on X . Thus, X is a K3 surface and Y is an Enriques surface over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-smooth K3 surface with 4 singularities of type A_1 located at $[0 : 0 : 0 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : 1]$. Then, the blow-up $\mathcal{X}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of the Weil divisor $(x_0 - ex_1 = x_2 - py_2 = 0)$ defines a simultaneous resolution of the singularities of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$, and we

obtain a smooth model of X over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In particular, X has good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$.

Next, let $\mathcal{X}'_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the blow-up of the 4 singular points of the special fiber. Then, ι extends to $\mathcal{X}'_2$, and the special fiber is the union of 4 divisors E_i with the minimal desingularization X'_p of the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. The fixed locus of ι on X'_p is the union of the four (-2) -curves of the resolution. Moreover, we can find isomorphisms $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that ι acts by interchanging the two factors. Thus, the quotient $\mathcal{X}'_2/\iota$ is a model of Y over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, whose special fiber is a rational surface X'_p/ι (a so-called Coble surface) meeting transversally four $\mathbb{P}^2$'s, that is, a semi-stable degeneration of flower pot type (see, [Pe77, Section 3.3]).

Seeking a contradiction, we assume that Y has potential good reduction. Then, there exists a finite extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a smooth model $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ of Y_L . Let $\mathcal{X}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ be its canonical double cover, which is a family of smooth K3 surfaces with generic fiber X_L , whose fixed point free involution specializes to a fixed point free involution in the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}_3$. Since $\mathcal{X}_3$ and the base-change of $\mathcal{X}'_1$ to $\mathcal{O}_L$ both are smooth models of X_L , they are isomorphic outside a finite number of curves inside their special fibers (this is well-known, and we actually show much more in Proposition 3.3 below). The special fibers are birational, and thus, isomorphic. Moreover, the two specialized involutions agree outside a finite number of curves, and thus, agree in total. However, in one special fiber the involution acts without fixed points, whereas it has four fixed curves in the other, a contradiction. $\square$

3. EXISTENCE AND TERMINATION OF FLOPS

Let X be a smooth and proper surface over K with numerically trivial canonical sheaf ω_X , and assume that we have a smooth model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. Now, if $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample invertible sheaf on X , then its specialization $\mathcal{L}_0$ to the special fiber may not be ample, and not even be big and nef. In this section, we show that there exists a finite sequence of birational modifications (semi-stable flops) of $\mathcal{X}$, such that we eventually arrive at a smooth model $\mathcal{X}^+ \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ of X , such that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}^+$ is big and nef.

3.1. Existence of flops. The following is an adaptation of Kollár's proof [Kol89, Proposition 2.2] of the existence of 3-fold flops over $\mathbb{C}$ to our situation, which deals with special semi-stable flops in mixed characteristic.

Proposition 3.1 (Existence of flops). *Let X be a smooth and proper surface over K with numerically trivial ω_X that has a smooth model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. Assume $p \neq 2$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample invertible sheaf on X , and C is an integral (not necessarily geometrically integral) curve on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ with*

$\mathcal{L}_0 \cdot C < 0$, then there exists a birational and rational map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}^+$$

over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{X}^+ \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ is a smooth model of X ,
- (2) φ induces an isomorphism of generic fibers,
- (3) $\mathcal{L}_0^+ \cdot C^+ > 0$, where $\mathcal{L}^+$ denotes the extension of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}^+$, and where C^+ denotes the flopped curve.

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is effective for $n \gg 0$, and then, also its specialization $\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}$ to the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is effective. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_0$ has positive intersection with every ample divisor on $\mathcal{X}_0$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is pseudo-effective. Thus, there exists a Zariski–Fujita decomposition on $(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\bar{k}}$

$$(\mathcal{L}_0)_{\bar{k}} = P + N,$$

where P is nef, and where N is a sum of effective divisors, whose intersection matrix is negative definite, see for example, [Ba01, Theorem 14.14]. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ is numerically trivial, the adjunction formula shows that every irreducible curve in N is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 , that is, a (-2) -curve. Moreover, negative definiteness and the classification of Cartan matrices implies that N is a disjoint union of ADE curves. Next, k is perfect and since the Zariski–Fujita decomposition is unique, it is stable under G_k , and thus, descends to $\mathcal{X}_0$.

After these preparations, let C be as in the statement, that is, $\mathcal{L}_0 \cdot C < 0$. First, we want to show that there exists a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ of algebraic spaces that contracts C . Being contained in the support of N , the base-change $C_{\bar{k}} \subset (\mathcal{X}_0)_{\bar{k}}$ is a disjoint union of ADE curves. Since $C^2 < 0$, Artin showed that there exists a morphism of projective surfaces over k

$$f_0 : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_0$$

that contracts C and nothing else (see [Ba01, Theorem 3.9], for example). Since $C_{\bar{k}}$ is a union of ADE-curves, it follows that $(\mathcal{X}'_0)_{\bar{k}}$ has RDP singularities, which are rational and Gorenstein. Thus, also $\mathcal{X}'_0$ has rational Gorenstein singularities.

For all $n \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{X}_n := \mathcal{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K} \operatorname{Spec} (\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}).$$

Since f_0 is a contraction with $R^1 f_{0,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} = 0$, there exists a blow-down $f_n : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_n$ that extends f_0 , see [CS09, Theorem 3.1]. Passing to limits, we obtain a contraction of formal schemes

$$\widehat{f} : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'.$$

By [Ar70, Theorem 3.1], there exists a contraction of algebraic spaces

$$f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$$

whose completion along their special fibers coincides with $\widehat{f}$. In particular, f is an isomorphism outside C , and contracts C to a singular point $w \in \mathcal{X}'$.

Let $\widehat{w}$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{X}'$ along w , and let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{w}$$

be the formal fiber over $\widehat{f}$. Then, $\widehat{w}$ is a formal affine scheme, say $\mathrm{Spf} R$, and let k' be the residue field, which is a finite extension of k . Let $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ be the unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}_K$ corresponding to the field extension $k \subseteq k'$. Since $k \subseteq k'$ is separable, k' arises by adjoining a root α of some monic polynomial f with values in k . After lifting f to a polynomial with values in $\mathcal{O}_K$, and using that R is Henselian, we can lift α to R , which shows that $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is contained in R . In particular, we can view R as a local $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -algebra without residue field extension - we denote by $\tilde{R}$ the ring R considered as $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -algebra.

Then, the special fiber of $\mathrm{Spf} \tilde{R}$ is a rational singularity of multiplicity 2, and thus, by [Lip69, Lemma 23.4], the completion of the local ring of the special fiber is of the form

$$(2) \quad k'[[x, y, z]] / (h'(x, y, z)) .$$

Using $p = \mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ and Hensel's lemma, we may assume after a change of coordinates that the power series $h'(x, y, z)$ is of the form $z^2 - h(x, y)$ for some polynomial $h(x, y)$. Using Hensel's lemma again, the completion of $\tilde{R}$ is of the form

$$(3) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K'}[[x, y, z]] / (z^2 - H(x, y))$$

where $H(x, y)$ is congruent to $h(x, y)$ modulo the maximal ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{K'}$ (here, we have used again $p \neq 2$ to obtain (3) from the versal deformation of (2)), see also [Ka94, Theorem 4.4]. We denote by $t' : \mathrm{Spf} \tilde{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \tilde{R}$ the involution induced by $z \mapsto -z$. It is not difficult to see that t' induces $-\mathrm{id}$ on local Picard groups, see, for example, [Kol89, Example 2.3]. Since R is equal to $\tilde{R}$ considered as rings, we have established an involution $t : \widehat{w} \rightarrow \widehat{w}$ that induces $-\mathrm{id}$ on local Picard groups. We denote by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{w}$$

the composition $t \circ \widehat{f}$. By [Kol89, Proposition 2.2], this gives the desired flop formally.

By [Ar70, Theorem 3.2], there exists a dilatation $f^+ : \mathcal{X}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ of algebraic spaces, such that the formal completion of $\mathcal{X}^+$ along the exceptional locus of f^+ is given by the just-constructed $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{w}'$. Thus, there exists a birational and rational map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}^+,$$

which is an isomorphism outside C . From the glueing construction it is clear that $\mathcal{X}^+$ is a smooth model of X over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Finally, from the formal picture above, it is clear that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}^+$ to $\mathcal{X}_0^+$ has positive intersection with the flopped curve C^+ . $\square$

3.2. Termination of flops. Having established the existence of certain semi-stable flops in mixed characteristic, we now show that there is no infinite sequence of them. To do so, we adjust the proof of termination of flops from [KM98, Theorem 6.17] and [KM98, Corollary 6.19] over $\mathbb{C}$ to our situation.

Proposition 3.2 (Termination of flops). *Let $(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Let*

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{X}^+, \mathcal{L}^+) \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{X}^{+2}, \mathcal{L}^{+2}) \dashrightarrow \dots$$

be a sequence of semi-stable flops obtained by flopping some integral curve that has negative intersection with the transform of $\mathcal{L}$ in every step (which is possible by Proposition 3.1). Then, this process stops after a finite number of steps with some smooth model of X

$$(\mathcal{X}^{+\infty}, \mathcal{L}^{+\infty}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K,$$

such that the specialization $\mathcal{L}_0^{+\infty}$ is big and nef.

PROOF. Let us first extend the notion of *discrepancy* for divisors to algebraic spaces: let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a normal and $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial algebraic space over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on $\mathcal{Y}$. Given a birational morphism $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ from a normal algebraic space $\mathcal{Z}$ and a prime divisor $E \subset \mathcal{Z}$, we define the discrepancy $a(E, \mathcal{Y}, D)$ to be the discrepancy $a(E_U, U, D_U)$ (in the sense of schemes [KM98, Definition 2.22]), where $g: U \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an étale covering by a scheme, D_U is the pullback $g^*(D)$, $h: W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U$ is a birational projective (hence surjective) morphism from a scheme (which exists by an algebraic space version of Chow's lemma [Kn71, Theorem IV.3.1]), and E_U is a prime divisor of W mapping to E by $(g \circ h)_*$. This so defined rational number is independent of the choices of g , h , and E_U , since discrepancies are local for the étale topology.

This allows us to define the *(total) discrepancy* of the pair $(\mathcal{Y}, D)$ as in [KM98, Section 2]

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{discrep}(\mathcal{Y}, D) &:= \inf_E \{a(E, \mathcal{Y}, D) : E \text{ is an exceptional divisor over } \mathcal{Y}\} \\ \operatorname{totaldiscrep}(\mathcal{Y}, D) &:= \inf_E \{a(E, \mathcal{Y}, D) : E \text{ is a divisor over } \mathcal{Y}\} \end{aligned}$$

The pair is called *canonical* if $\operatorname{discrep}(\mathcal{Y}, D) \geq 0$. Also, a pair $(\mathcal{Y}, D)$, where $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ for prime divisors D_i and rational numbers a_i , is called *klt* (*Kawamata log terminal*) if $a_i < 1$ for all i , and $\operatorname{discrep}(\mathcal{Y}, D) > -1$.

Let us also recall [KM98, Definition 6.20]: if $(\mathcal{Y}, D)$ is a canonical pair, where $D = \sum_i D_i$ for prime divisors D_i and rational numbers a_i , we set $a := \max\{a_i\}$, $S := \sum_i a_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ (if $D = 0$, we set $a := 0$ and $S := \{0\}$), and define

$$d(\mathcal{Y}, D) := \sum_{\xi \in S, \xi \geq a} \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Exceptional divisors } E \text{ over } \mathcal{Y} \\ \text{with } a(E, \mathcal{Y}, D) < 1 - \xi \end{array} \right\}.$$

To prove $d(\mathcal{Y}, D) < \infty$, Kollár and Mori use log resolutions in [KM98], which are not (yet) available in mixed characteristic.

Now, we finally come to the proof of Proposition 3.2: since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample on X , we may assume, after possibly replacing $\mathcal{L}$ by a sufficiently high tensor power, that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for some irreducible divisor D . By abuse of notation, let us also denote its closure in $\mathcal{X}$ by D , which is still an irreducible divisor.

To prove $d(\mathcal{X}, D) < \infty$ in our case, we note that $\mathcal{X}$ is regular, and then, the resolution result [CJS13, Corollary 0.4] ensures the existence of a birational surjective morphism $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a regular algebraic space and $f^*(D)$ has SNC support. (That result is stated for schemes, but using canonicity, we can easily extend it to the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space.)

Since $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K}$ is numerically trivial, D -flops and εD -flops are the same for all positive rationals ε , and hence, we can always replace D by εD if needed. Next, by [KM98, Corollary 2.32] (which is applicable since we have a log resolution $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by the above discussion) we may assume after possibly replacing D by εD for some positive rational number ε that the pair $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ is klt. Using the log resolution $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ again, we can argue along the lines of the proof of [KM98, Proposition 2.36] to deduce that if E is an exceptional divisor over X satisfying $a(E, \mathcal{X}, D) < 1 + \text{totaldiscrep}(\mathcal{X}, D)$, then the center of E on $\mathcal{Y}$ is a divisor. In particular, there are only finitely many such divisors, and it follows that $d(\mathcal{X}, D) < \infty$.

After these preparations, we follow the proof of [KM98, Theorem 6.17] to deduce Proposition 3.2. $\square$

3.3. Flops along smooth models. As an application of existence and termination of flops, Kollár [Kol89, Theorem 4.9] showed that any two birational complex threefolds with $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and nef canonical classes are connected by a finite sequence of flops. We have the following analog in our situation, but since we are dealing with algebraic spaces rather than projective schemes (which is analogous to the case of analytic threefolds in loc. cit.), we have to allow slightly more general flops than those considered in Proposition 3.1: namely, we do not assume the existence of some ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ globally on $\mathcal{X}$ but only formally locally around the flopping contraction.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a smooth and proper surface over K with numerically trivial ω_X that has good reduction. Assume $p \neq 2$. If $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ are two smooth models of X , then*

- (1) *the special fibers of $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are isomorphic, and*
- (2) *$\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are connected by a sequence of flops.*

PROOF. The special fibers of $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are birational by the Matsusaka–Mumford theorem [MM64], and since they are minimal surfaces of Kodaira dimension ≥ 0 , they are isomorphic. (Note that this statement also follows from the much more detailed analysis below.)

Now, choose an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X . By Proposition 3.2, there exist finite sequences of flops $\mathcal{X}_i \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\mathcal{L}$ restricts to big and nef invertible sheaves on the special fibers of $\mathcal{Y}_i$.

To simplify notation, set $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Y}_i$ for some $i = 1, 2$, and let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the special fiber $\mathcal{Z}_0$. Note that $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ is numerically trivial. Since $\mathcal{L}_0$ is big and nef, we obtain a proper and birational morphism

$$\varpi : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow W := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}).$$

Base-changing to $(\mathcal{Z}_0)_{\bar{k}}$, the induced morphism $\varpi_{\bar{k}}$ contracts an integral curve C if and only if it has zero-intersection with $\mathcal{L}_0$. Since the intersection matrix formed by contracted curves is negative definite, and since an integral curve with negative self-intersection on a surface with numerically trivial canonical sheaf over an algebraically closed field is a (-2) -curve (that is, $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C^2 = -2$), it follows from the classification of Cartan matrices, that $W_{\bar{k}}$ has at worst RDP singularities.

Now, $\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}$ is of degree 0 on contracted curves for all n , and over $\bar{k}$, these curves are ADE curves. Thus, we find $R^1\varpi_*\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n} = 0$ for all n , which implies $H^1(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}) = H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W(n))$ for all n , and note that the latter term is zero for $n \gg 0$ by Serre vanishing. Replacing $\mathcal{L}$ by some sufficiently high tensor power will not change ϖ , and then, we may assume that $H^1(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ denotes the structure morphism, then semi-continuity and the previous vanishing result imply $R^1f_*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, global sections of $\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}$ extend to $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$, and since the former is globally generated for $n \gg 0$, so is the latter. Thus, we obtain a morphism of algebraic spaces over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$

$$\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}' := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample on X , π induces an isomorphism of generic fibers. Moreover, we can identify the induced map π_0 on special fibers with $\varpi : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow W$ from above.

Coming back to our models $\mathcal{Y}_i$, we thus obtain birational morphisms

$$\mathcal{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_i := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

that are flopping contractions in the sense of [KM98, Definition 6.10]. Now, $\mathcal{L}$ is ample on $\mathcal{Y}'_i$. Moreover, the $\mathcal{Y}'_i$ are normal projective schemes and birational outside a finite number of curves in their special fibers. In fact, there exists a birational rational map between them that is compatible with $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, by [Kov09, Theorem 5.14], this birational map extends to an isomorphism, and then, we obtain a birational map $\mathcal{Y}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2$ that is a sequence of flops. (Here, we have to allow more general flops than before: there may exist no invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_2$ that is relatively ample over $\mathcal{Y}'_2$. However, we can choose a relatively ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ on the formal

completion of $\mathcal{Y}_2$ along the exceptional locus of $\mathcal{Y}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_2$. Pulling back to the corresponding completion of $\mathcal{Y}_1$, we have existence and termination of flops as in Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 in this local situation, and by [Kov09, Theorem 5.14], the outcome is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'_2$. We leave the details to the reader.)

Putting all these birational modifications together, we have connected $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ by a sequence of flops. $\square$

4. GROUP ACTIONS ON MODELS

In this section, we study group actions on models. More precisely, we are given a smooth and proper surface X over K with numerically trivial ω_X , a finite field extension L/K , which is Galois with group G , and a smooth proper model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ of X_L . Then we study the following questions:

- (1) Does the G -action on X_L extend to $\mathcal{X}$?
- (2) If so, is the special fiber $(\mathcal{X}/G)_0$ of the quotient equal to the quotient $\mathcal{X}_0/G$ of the special fiber?

It turns out, that the answer to question (1) is “yes”, when allowing certain birational modifications of the model, and in question (2), it turns out that the case where $p \geq 2$ and p divides the order of G (wild group actions) is subtle.

4.1. Extending group actions to a possibly singular model. Given a smooth proper surface X over K with numerically trivial ω_X that admits a model $\mathcal{X}$ with good reduction after a finite Galois extension L/K with group G , we first show that the G -action extends to a (mild) birational modification of $\mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a smooth and proper surface over K with numerically trivial ω_X . Assume that there exist*

- (1) *a finite Galois extension L/K with Galois group G , as well as*
- (2) *a smooth model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$ of X_L , and*
- (3) *an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X , whose pull-back to X_L restricts to an invertible sheaf on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ that is big and nef.*

Then, there exists a proper birational morphism π

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L & & \end{array}$$

of algebraic spaces over $\mathcal{O}_L$, such that

- (1) *The natural G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}'$, and is compatible with the G -action on $\mathcal{O}_L$.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{X}'$ is a projective scheme over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$.*

- (3) *The generic fibers of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ are isomorphic via π , whereas the induced morphism on special fibers $\pi_0 : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_0$ is birational and projective, such that the geometric special fiber $(\mathcal{X}'_0)_{\bar{k}}$ has at worst RDP singularities.*
- (4) *If π is not an isomorphism, then $\mathcal{X}'$ is not regular.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is regular, the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ to X_L extends to an invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. By abuse of notation, we shall denote the pull-back to X_L and its extension to $\mathcal{X}$ again by $\mathcal{L}$. By assumption, the restriction $\mathcal{L}_0$ of $\mathcal{L}$ to the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is big and nef. Note that $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ is numerically trivial. As explained in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we obtain a morphism of algebraic spaces over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$

$$\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' := \text{Proj } \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

that has all the properties asserted in claim (3) of the proposition. Clearly, $\mathcal{X}'$ is a projective scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$, and if π is not an isomorphism, then the exceptional locus is non-empty and of codimension 2, which implies that $\mathcal{X}'$ cannot be regular by van der Waerden purity, see, for example, [Liu02, Theorem 7.2.22].

It remains to establish the G -action: since $\mathcal{L}$ is a G -invariant invertible sheaf on X_L , we have an induced G -action on $H^0(X_L, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $U \subset \mathcal{X}$ be an open and dense subspace such that the G -action extends to U . Since $\mathcal{X}$ is normal, we may assume that the complement $\mathcal{X} \setminus U$ is of codimension ≥ 2 and that it is contained in $\mathcal{X}_0$. Thus, if s is a global section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ over $\mathcal{X}$ and $\sigma \in G$, then $\sigma(s|_U)$ is a well-defined global section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ over U . Since $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is a reflexive sheaf on a regular algebraic space, $\sigma(s|_U)$ extends uniquely to a global section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ over $\mathcal{X}$. Thus, we obtain a G -action on $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$, which gives rise to a G -action on $\mathcal{X}'$ that is compatible with the G -action on $\mathcal{O}_L$, as well as with the natural G -action on X_L . $\square$

Remark 4.2. If $p \neq 2$ and if all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 except assumption (3) are satisfied, then Proposition 3.2 shows that there exists another smooth model of X over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ for which all assumptions hold.

4.2. Examples, where the action does not extend. In general, it is too much to ask for an extension of the G -action from X_L to $\mathcal{X}$ (notation as in Proposition 4.1). The following example is typical.

Example 4.3 (Arithmetic 3-fold flop). Consider $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $p \neq 2$ and set $L := \mathbb{Q}_p(\varpi)$, where $\varpi^2 = p$. Then, $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is Galois with group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the non-trivial element of G acts as $\varpi \mapsto -\varpi$. We equip

$$\mathcal{X}' := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L[[x, y, z]]/(xy + z^2 - \varpi^2) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$$

with the G -action that is the Galois-action on $\mathcal{O}_L$, and that is trivial on x, y, z . It is easy to see that the induced G -action on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}'_0$ is

trivial. Next, we consider the two ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_\pm := (x, y, z \pm \varpi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$ and their blow-ups

$$\pi_\pm : \mathcal{X}_\pm \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}'$$

Then, $\mathcal{X}_\pm$ are regular schemes, $\mathcal{X}'$ is singular at the closed point (x, y, z, ϖ) , $\pi_\pm$ are both resolutions of singularities, and the exceptional locus is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ in both cases. The ideals $\mathcal{I}_\pm$ are not G -invariant and the G -action on $\mathcal{X}'$ does not extend to that on $\mathcal{X}_+$ nor on $\mathcal{X}_-$. (Instead, the non-trivial element of G induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_-$.) In fact, $\mathcal{X}'$ is an arithmetic version of a 3-fold ordinary double point, and the rational map $\mathcal{X}_+ \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_-$ is an arithmetic version of the classical Atiyah flop.

Even worse, the following example (which is a modification of Example 6.1 below, and rests on examples from [Mat15] and [vL07]) shows that if we have a G -action on a singular model $\mathcal{X}'$ as in Proposition 4.1, then there may exist resolutions of singularities to which the G -action extends, as well as resolutions to which the G -action does not extend. Moreover, our examples are models of K3 surfaces, that is, such phenomena are highly relevant for our results.

Example 4.4. Before giving explicit examples, let us explain the strategy, again, for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ in this example:

Assume $p \neq 2$, let k'/k be the unique extension of degree 2, let K'/K be the corresponding unramified extension, and let $G = \{1, \sigma\}$ be the Galois group of both extensions. Next, let $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be a proper scheme such that the geometric special fiber $(\mathcal{X}'_0)_{\bar{k}}$ has only RDP singularities and at least two of them. Set $S := \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{X}'_0$, and assume that all points of S are k' -rational but not all k -rational. Then, G acts non-trivially on $S(k') = S(\bar{k})$. Let us finally assume that there exist two different resolutions of singularities $\psi_\pm : \mathcal{X}_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$, both of which are isomorphisms outside S , and both of which are obtained by blowing up ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_\pm$ defined over $\mathcal{O}_K$. From this setup, we can produce the announced counter-examples:

- (1) The Galois action on $(\mathcal{X}')_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$ extends to $(\mathcal{X}_+)_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$, as well as to $(\mathcal{X}_-)_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$. Thus, there do exist resolutions of singularities to which the G -action extends.
- (2) On the other hand, for each decomposition $S(k') = S_1 \sqcup S_2$, we define $\psi_{S_1, S_2} : \mathcal{X}_{S_1, S_2} \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}')_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$ to be the morphism that is equal to ψ_+ (resp. ψ_-) on $(\mathcal{X}')_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \setminus S_2$ (resp. on $(\mathcal{X}')_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \setminus S_1$). We note that ψ_{S_1, S_2} is also a resolution of singularities. But now, if S_1 and S_2 are not G -stable, then the G -action on $(\mathcal{X}')_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$ does not extend to $\mathcal{X}_{S_1, S_2}$, but induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{X}_{S_1, S_2}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{\sigma(S_1), \sigma(S_2)}$, where $\sigma \in G$ is the non-trivial element.

We now give explicit examples for $p \geq 5$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Fix a prime $p \geq 5$ and choose an integer d such that d is not a quadratic residue modulo p , and such that $d^6 \not\equiv -2^{-4} \cdot 3^{-3} \pmod{p}$ (one easily checks that such d exists).

We define the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} \phi := & x^3 - x^2y - x^2z + x^2w - xyz - xy^2 + 2xyw + xz^2 + 2xzw \\ & + y^3 + y^2z - y^2w + yz^2 + yzw - yw^2 + z^2w + zw^2 + 2w^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we choose a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z, w]$ of degree 3, such that the following congruences hold

$$\begin{aligned} f &\equiv \phi && \text{mod } 2 \\ f &\equiv z(x^2 - z^2) + w^3 && \text{mod } p. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we choose homogeneous quadratic polynomials $2g, 2h \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z, w]$, such that the following congruences hold

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 - p^2h^2 &\equiv (z^2 + xy + yz)(z^2 + xy) && \text{mod } 2 \\ g^2 - p^2h^2 &\equiv (y^2 - dx^2)^2 && \text{mod } p. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define the quartic hypersurface

$$\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X}'(p) := \{wf + g^2 - p^2h^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^3,$$

and denote by $X = X(p)$ its generic fiber. Then, X is a smooth K3 surface over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The subscheme $S = \text{Sing } \mathcal{X}'_0$ is given by

$$S = \{w = y^2 - dx^2 = z(x^2 - z^2) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^3.$$

Thus, we find 6 RDP singularities on $(\mathcal{X}'_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}$, all of which are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{d}]$, and $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts non-trivially on $S(k')$, since $\sqrt{d} \notin \mathbb{F}_p$. Finally, the blow-ups $\psi_{\pm}: \mathcal{X}_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ of the ideals $\mathcal{I}_{\pm} := (w = g \pm ph = 0)$ are both resolutions of singularities. As explained in the strategy above, this setup yields the desired examples.

(See Remark 6.3 for the reason we use this ϕ .)

4.3. Extending the inertia action to the smooth model. Despite all these discouraging examples, there are situations, in which the G -action on X_L does extend to $\mathcal{X}$, and not merely to a singular model $\mathcal{X}'$ (notation as in Proposition 4.1). More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *We keep the notations and assumptions of Proposition 4.1. We denote by I_G the inertia subgroup of G .*

- (1) *If X is an Abelian surface or a hyperelliptic surface, then the G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}$.*
- (2) *If the I_G -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_L, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is trivial, that is, the G -action is unramified, then the I_G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}$.*

PROOF. (1) It follows from the assumptions that $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a smooth and proper surface with numerically trivial $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_0}$, and that it has the same ℓ -adic Betti numbers as X . Thus, by the classification of surfaces (see, for example, [BM2]), also $\mathcal{X}_0$ is Abelian and (quasi-)hyperelliptic, respectively. As seen in the proof of Proposition 4.1, the (geometric) exceptional locus of π is a union of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with self-intersection number (-2) . Now, there are no rational curves on Abelian varieties. Also, it follows from the explicit classification

and description of (quasi-)hyperelliptic surfaces in [BM2] and [BM3] that they do not contain any smooth rational curves. In particular, π must be an isomorphism, which implies that the G -action extends to $\mathcal{X}$.

(2) After replacing G with I_G , we may assume that L/K is totally ramified. To show that the G -action extends, it suffices to show that the σ -action extends for every $\sigma \in G$. Thus, let $\sigma \in G$, and after replacing G by the cyclic subgroup generated by σ , we may assume that G is cyclic, say $G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and generated by σ .

Let $U \subset \mathcal{X}$ be the maximal open subspace to which the G -action on X_L extends. Then, U contains the generic fiber X_L , as well as an open dense subscheme of the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{X}^n$ be the closure of the set $\{(x, \sigma(x), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in U\}$ in $\mathcal{X}^n$. The group $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathcal{X}^n$ by permutation of the factors, and this action restricted to Γ_L coincides with the natural G -action on X_L via $\text{pr}_1 : \Gamma_L \xrightarrow{\sim} X_L$.

Consider the diagram of G -representations

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathcal{X}_0^n) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^2(X_L^n) \\ \downarrow \cdot [\Gamma_0] & & \downarrow \cdot [\Gamma_L] \\ H^{4n-2}(\mathcal{X}_0^n) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^{4n-2}(X_L^n), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ H^2(\Gamma_L) \cong H^2(X_L) \\ \nwarrow \end{array}$$

where we omit the coefficients (Tate twists of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$) of the ℓ -adic cohomology groups from the notation. The triangle on the right is clearly commutative. The commutativity of the square on the left follows from the fact that the classes $[\Gamma_0]$ and $[\Gamma_L]$ correspond via the isomorphism $H^{4n-4}(\mathcal{X}_0^n) \cong H^{4n-4}(X_L^n)$, which will be proved in Lemma 4.7 below. Note that all irreducible components of Γ_0 are of dimension 2 (by, for example, [Liu02, Proposition 4.4.16])

Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ be as in Proposition 4.1. Let $E \subset \mathcal{X}_0$ be the exceptional locus of $\pi_0 : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_0$ and E^α be the irreducible components of $E_{\bar{k}}$. Each irreducible component E^α is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since π_0 is a resolution of singularities, the intersection matrix $(E^\alpha \cdot E^\beta)_{\alpha, \beta}$ is invertible. In particular, if we are given $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^m c_\alpha E^\alpha \cdot E^\beta = 0$ for all β , then $c_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$.

Consider the irreducible components of $\Gamma_0 := (\Gamma_0)_{\bar{k}}$. First, there is the “diagonal” component, that is, the closure of the set $\{(x, \sigma(x), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(x)) \mid x \in U_0\}$. If Z is a non-diagonal component (assuming there is one), then Z is contained in $E^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times E^{\alpha_n}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. From the Künneth formula and the fact that $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathbb{P}^1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{pt}]$, it follows that the cycle class $[Z] \in H^{4n-4}(\mathcal{X}_0^n)$ is a non-zero $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -combination of $[E_i^\gamma \times E_j^\delta \times \text{pt}^{n-2}]$ with

$i \neq j$, where

$$E_i^\gamma \times E_j^\delta \times \text{pt}^{n-2} := \cdots \times E^\gamma \times \cdots \times E^\delta \times \cdots$$

(the i .th component is equal to E^γ , the j .th component is equal to E^δ , and the remaining components are equal to a point). Hence, if we set $[\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} := [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}] - [\text{diag}]$, then there exist $c_{i,j,\gamma,\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(5) \quad [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} = [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}] - [\text{diag}] = \sum_{i,j,\gamma,\delta} c_{i,j,\gamma,\delta} [E_i^\gamma \times E_j^\delta \times \text{pt}^{n-2}] \in H^*(\mathcal{X}_0^n).$$

We have $c_{i,i,\gamma,\delta} = 0$ for all i, γ, δ .

We want to show $[\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} = 0$. For this, we will use the assumption that the G -action on $H^2(X_{\bar{L}})$ is trivial. Using the commutative diagram (4), we see that the map $\cdot[\Gamma_{\bar{0}}] : H^2(\mathcal{X}_0^n) \rightarrow H^{4n-2}(\mathcal{X}_0^n)$ factors through $H^2(X_{\bar{L}})$, and thus, every element in its image is G -invariant. In particular, for all α and i , the cycle $[E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \cdot [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}] \in H^{4n-2}(\mathcal{X}_0^n)$ is G -invariant, where

$$E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1} := \cdots \times E^\alpha \times \cdots$$

(the i .th component is equal to E^α and the remaining components are equal to $\mathcal{X}_0$). Now, G acts by $\sigma : [E_j^\beta] \mapsto [E_{j+1}^\beta]$, which implies that for all β , the cycle $[E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \cdot [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}] \cdot [E_j^\beta \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \in H^{4n}(\mathcal{X}_0^n) \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is independent of j . Since $[E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \cdot [\text{diag}] \cdot [E_j^\beta \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}]$ is equal to $E^\alpha \cdot E^\beta$, it is also independent of j , and thus, $[E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \cdot [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} \cdot [E_j^\beta \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}]$ is independent of j for all β . In order to compute its value, we use equation (5) and find

$$[E_i^\alpha \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] \cdot [\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} \cdot [E_j^\beta \times \mathcal{X}_0^{n-1}] = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} (c_{i,j,\gamma,\delta} + c_{j,i,\delta,\gamma}) (E^\gamma \cdot E^\alpha) (E^\delta \cdot E^\beta).$$

Since $c_{i,i,\gamma,\delta} = 0$ for all i , this sum is zero for $i = j$. Since it is independent of j , this sum is zero for all i, j . Using invertibility of the matrix $(E^\alpha \cdot E^\beta)$ twice, we obtain $c_{i,j,\gamma,\delta} + c_{j,i,\delta,\gamma} = 0$ for all i, j, γ, δ . Thus, $[\Gamma_{\bar{0}}]^{\text{nondiag}} = 0$.

Now, $\text{pr}_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a proper birational morphism for all i , where $\mathcal{X}$ is regular, and Γ is integral. Thus, by van der Waerden purity (see, [Liu02, Theorem 7.2.22], for example, and note that this result can easily be extended to algebraic spaces), the exceptional locus of pr_i is either empty or a divisor. If it was a divisor, it would give rise to a non-diagonal component of $\Gamma_{\bar{0}}$, which does not exist by the previous computations. Thus, pr_i is an isomorphism for all i , and since the $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -action extends to Γ , this shows that the $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -action extends to $\mathcal{X}$, as desired. $\square$

Remark 4.6. We stress that the reason for the extension of the G -action to $\mathcal{X}$ rather than $\mathcal{X}'$ in the case of Abelian and hyperelliptic surfaces is their “simple” geometry: they contain no smooth rational curves.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a proper smooth algebraic space over the spectrum $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ of a strictly Henselian DVR $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a closed subspace of codimension c and flat over S . Then, the image of the cycle class $[\mathcal{Z}_0]$ under the isomorphism $H^{2c}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c)) \rightarrow H^{2c}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c))$ is $[\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{K}}]$.*

PROOF. This is immediate if we can define the cycle class $[\mathcal{Z}] \in H^{2c}(\mathcal{X})$ such that it is compatible with base change $S' \rightarrow S$ of base schemes (in particular, compatible with restrictions to generic and special fibers). If $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme, this is defined and shown in [SGA4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Cycle, Numéro 2.3]. Thus, it remains to treat the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space.

First, let us recall cohomological descent. Let $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be an étale covering of schemes. Such a morphism is of cohomological descent by [SGA4, Proposition V^{bis}.4.3.3], and we have a spectral sequence [SGA4, Proposition V^{bis}.2.5.5]

$$E_1^{p,q} := H_{\text{ét}}^q(V_p, a_p^* F) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}, F),$$

where, for each $p \geq 0$, V_p is the $(p+1)$ -fold fibered product of V over $\mathcal{Y}$, and $a_p : V_p \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is the structure map. Next, we consider the more general case, where $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a étale covering of an algebraic space by a scheme. (Note that then, the V_p are schemes.) We observe that $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is still of cohomological descent (proved in the same way) and we obtain the same spectral sequence.

After these preliminary remarks, let $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an étale covering by a scheme U . Applying the previous paragraph to the covering $U \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and the sheaf $R^{2c}i^! \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c)$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ (where $i : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the closed immersion), and using the isomorphism $H_{\mathcal{Z}}^{2c}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Z}, R^{2c}i^! \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c))$ (this isomorphism is also proved by reducing to the scheme case), we obtain an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ker} (H_{Z_0}^{2c}(U_0, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c)) \rightarrow H_{Z_1}^{2c}(U_1, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c))) \cong H_{\mathcal{Z}}^{2c}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c)),$$

where $Z_p = U_p \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Z}$ (note that this is a scheme). We define $[\mathcal{Z}] \in H_{\mathcal{Z}}^{2c}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c))$ to be $[Z_0] \in H_{Z_0}^{2c}(U_0, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(c))$ (this class lies indeed in the kernel). Since the cycle map (for schemes) is étale local, this construction does not depend on the choice of the étale covering $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Compatibility with change of base schemes reduces to the scheme case. $\square$

4.4. The action on the special fiber. In the situation of Proposition 4.5, we now want to understand whether the induced G -action on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is trivial. Quite generally, if Y is a smooth and proper variety over some field k , then the natural representation

$$\rho_m : \operatorname{Aut}(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut} (H_{\text{ét}}^m(Y_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$$

is usually neither injective nor surjective. Thanks to Torelli theorems, we have the following exceptions:

- (1) If Y is a complex Abelian variety, then ρ_1 is injective. (Here, $\text{Aut}(Y)$ denotes the automorphism group as an Abelian variety – translations may act trivially on cohomology.)
- (2) If Y is a complex K3 surface, then ρ_2 is injective.

Using appropriate extensions of these results to arbitrary characteristic, we have the following.

Proposition 4.8. *We keep the notations and assumptions of Proposition 4.1. Moreover, assume that either*

- (1) *X is an Abelian surface and the G -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified, or*
- (2) *X is a K3 surface and the G -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified.*

Then, the I_G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}$, and the induced I_G -action on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is trivial.

PROOF. We have already shown the extension of the I_G -action to $\mathcal{X}$ in Proposition 4.5. Moreover, the I_G -action on $\mathcal{X}_0$ is k -linear. By assumption, the I_G -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^m((\mathcal{X}_0)_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is trivial for $m = 1, 2$, respectively.

If X is an Abelian surface, then the I_G -action on $(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial by the injectivity of ρ_1 in arbitrary characteristic, see, for example, [Mu70, Theorem 3 in Section 19]. If X is a K3 surface, then the I_G -action on $(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial by the injectivity of ρ_2 in arbitrary characteristic, see [Og79, Corollary 2.5] and [Ke12, Theorem 1.4]. In both cases, the I_G -action on $(\mathcal{X}_0)_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial, and thus, also the original action on $\mathcal{X}_0$ is trivial. $\square$

4.5. Tame quotients. Now, in the situation of Proposition 4.5, it is natural to study the quotient $\mathcal{X}/H$ and its special fiber, where H is a subgroup of G . We start with the following easy result.

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be a smooth and proper variety over K , and let L/K be a finite Galois extension with group G , such that X_L admits a smooth model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$. Moreover, assume that the natural G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}$. Let H be a subgroup of G such that*

- (1) *H is contained in the inertia subgroup of G ,*
- (2) *H is of order prime to p , and*
- (3) *H acts trivially on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$.*

Then,

- (1) *the quotient $\mathcal{X}/H$ is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L^H$,*
- (2) *the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}/H$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_0$.*

PROOF. First of all, the quotient $\mathcal{X}/H$ exists in the category of algebraic spaces [Kn71, Chapter IV.1]. Next, let $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{X}$ along the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$, which is a formal scheme. If $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$ is a closed point, then $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}$ is étale over the localization $A := \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of the

restricted power series ring

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle := \left\{ \sum_{i_1, i_2 \geq 0} a_{i_1, i_2} y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L[[y_1, y_2]] \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{ord}_\pi(a_{i_1, i_2}) \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{as } i_1 + i_2 \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, y_1, y_2)$. The induced H -action on the residue ring $A/(\pi) \cong \kappa(\mathcal{O}_L)[y_1, y_2]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is trivial. Thus, replacing y_i by $\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sigma(y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ (here, we use that the order of H is prime to p) is simply a change of coordinates of A . But then, the H -action on $A = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is trivial on y_1 and y_2 , and hence $\mathcal{O}_{(\widehat{\mathcal{X}/H})_0, x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}^H$ is étale over $A^H \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{L^H}\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{m}}$. From this local and formal description, the smoothness of $\mathcal{X}/H$ follows immediately, and we see that the quotient map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/H$ induces an isomorphism of special fibers. $\square$

4.6. Wild quotients. Unfortunately, Proposition 4.9 is no longer true if $p \geq 2$ and H is a subgroup of the inertia subgroup, whose order is divisible by p . Let us illustrate this with a very instructive example. We refer the interested reader to Wewers' article [We10] for a more thorough treatment of wild actions and their quotients.

Example 4.10. Consider $K := \mathbb{Q}_p[\zeta_p]$, where ζ_p is a primitive p .th root of unity. Then, $\pi := 1 - \zeta_p$ is a uniformizer in $\mathcal{O}_K$, and the residue field is $\mathbb{F}_p$, see [Wa82, Lemma 1.4], for example. Let L be the finite extension $K[\varpi]$, where $\varpi := \sqrt[p]{\pi}$. Then, ϖ is a uniformizer in $\mathcal{O}_L$, and the residue field is $\mathbb{F}_p$, that is, L/K is totally ramified. By Kummer theory, L/K is Galois with group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. More precisely, there exists a generator $\sigma \in H$ such that $\sigma(\varpi) = \zeta_p \cdot \varpi$. We set

$$R := \mathcal{O}_L[x]$$

and extend the H -action to R by requiring that $\sigma(x) = \zeta_p^{p-1} \cdot x$. Then, we have $R/(\varpi) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]$, and the induced H -action on the quotient is trivial. On the other hand, we find that

$$R^H \cong \mathcal{O}_K[x^p, x \cdot \varpi] \cong \mathcal{O}_K[u, z]/(z^p - \pi u)$$

is normal, but not regular – this is an arithmetic version of the RDP singularity of type A_{p-1} . We also find that the special fiber

$$R^H/(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[u, z]/(z^p)$$

is not reduced. In particular, Proposition 4.9 does not extend to wild actions without extra assumptions. However, let us make two observations, whose significance will become clear in the proof of Proposition 4.11.

- (1) The H -action on the special fiber $R/(\varpi)$ only *seems* to be trivial, but in fact, it has become infinitesimal. More precisely, if $r \in R$ and

$\bar{r}$ denotes its residue class in $R/(\varpi)$, then the H -action gives rise to a well-defined and non-trivial derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : R/(\varpi) &\rightarrow R/(\varpi) \\ \bar{r} &\mapsto \left(\frac{\sigma(r)-r}{\pi} \right) \pmod{\varpi}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) The *augmentation ideal*, that is, the ideal of R generated by all elements of the form $\sigma(r) - r$ is not principal. In fact, it can be generated by the two elements $\varpi^p x$ and ϖ^{p+1} .

Despite this example, we have the following analog of Proposition 4.9 in the wildly ramified case. This main ideas of its proof are due to Király–Lütkebohmert [KL13, Theorem 2] and Wewers [We10, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 4.11. *Let X be a smooth and proper variety over K , and let L/K be a finite Galois extension with group G , such that X_L admits a smooth model $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L$. Moreover, assume that the natural G -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{X}$. Let H be a subgroup of G such that*

- (1) *H is contained in the inertia subgroup of G ,*
- (2) *H is cyclic of order $p \geq 2$, and*
- (3) *H acts trivially on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$.*

Then, the H -action induces a global and non-trivial derivation on $\mathcal{X}_0$ or else both of the following two statements hold true

- (1) *the quotient $\mathcal{X}/H$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_L^H$,*
- (2) *the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}/H$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_0$.*

PROOF. First of all, the quotient $\mathcal{X}/H$ exists in the category of algebraic spaces [Kn71, Chapter IV.1]. Next, we fix once and for all a generator $\sigma \in H$ and a uniformizer $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_L$. We use these to define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{O}_L) &:= \max \left\{ k \mid \pi^k \text{ divides } \sigma(x) - x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_L \right\} \\ J_H(\mathcal{O}_L) &:= \text{ideal of } \mathcal{O}_L \text{ generated by } \sigma(x) - x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_L. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a DVR, the ideal $J_H(\mathcal{O}_L)$ is principal. More precisely, this ideal is generated by $y := \sigma(\pi) - \pi$, and it is also generated by $\pi^{N(\mathcal{O}_L)}$. In [KL13], ideals generated by elements of the form $\sigma(x) - x$ are called *augmentation ideals*. Also, it is not difficult to see that they do not depend on the choice of generator σ , which justifies the subscript H rather than σ .

Next, let $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{X}$ along the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$, which is a formal scheme. For every point $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}) &:= \max \left\{ k \mid \pi^k \text{ divides } \sigma(r) - r \text{ for all } r \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x} \right\} \\ J_H(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}) &:= \text{ideal of } \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x} \text{ generated by } \sigma(r) - r \text{ for all } r \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\eta \in \mathcal{X}_0$ denotes the generic point, then we have the following

$$(6) \quad 1 \leq N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, \eta}) \leq N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}) \leq N(\mathcal{O}_L),$$

where the leftmost inequality follows from the triviality of the H -action on $\mathcal{X}_0$. We distinguish two cases:

Case (I): $N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, \eta}) < N(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$ be an arbitrary point and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}$ and $N_\eta := N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, \eta})$. Then, we define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &: R \rightarrow R/\pi R \\ x &\mapsto \left(\frac{\sigma(x) - x}{\pi^{N_\eta}} \right) \pmod{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to be a derivation. Since we have $N_\eta < N(\mathcal{O}_L)$, we compute $\theta(\pi) = 0$, and thus, θ induces a derivation $\bar{\theta} : R/\pi R \rightarrow R/\pi R$. This globalizes and gives rise to a derivation on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$. It follows from the definition of N_η that this derivation is non-zero at the generic point $\eta \in \mathcal{X}_0$, whence non-trivial.

Case (II): $N(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, \eta}) = N(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$ be an arbitrary point and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0, x}$. Then, the two inequalities at the center and the right of (6) are equalities, which implies that all inclusions in

$$\pi^{N(\mathcal{O}_L)} \cdot R = J_H(\mathcal{O}_L) \cdot R \subseteq J_H(R) \subseteq \pi^{N(R)} \cdot R$$

are equalities. In particular, $J_H(R)$ is a principal ideal, generated by $\pi^{N(\mathcal{O}_L)}$. But then, [KL13, Proposition 5] implies that there is an isomorphism of R^H - (resp. $\mathcal{O}_L^H$ -) modules

$$\begin{aligned} R &\cong R^H \oplus R^H \pi \oplus \dots \oplus R^H \pi^{p-1}, \\ \mathcal{O}_L &\cong \mathcal{O}_L^H \oplus \mathcal{O}_L^H \pi \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_L^H \pi^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this description, we conclude that the natural map

$$R^H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L^H} \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow R$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, if π^H is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_L^H$, then the previous isomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$R^H / \pi^H R^H \cong R / \pi R.$$

This local computation at completions shows that $\mathcal{X}/H \times_{\mathcal{O}_L^H} \mathcal{O}_L$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$, and that the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}/H$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_L$, $\mathcal{X}_0$ is smooth over the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_L$, which implies that also the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}/H$ is smooth over the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_L^H$. But this implies that $\mathcal{X}/H$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_L^H$. $\square$

5. THE NÉRON–OGG–SHAFAREVICH CRITERION

We now come to the main result of this article, which is a criterion for good reduction of K3 surfaces, similar to the classical Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion for elliptic curves and its generalization to Abelian varieties by Serre and Tate. Then, we give a couple corollaries concerning potential good reduction, and good reduction after a tame extension. Finally, we relate the reduction behavior of a polarized K3 surface to that of its associated Kuga–Satake Abelian variety.

5.1. The criterion. Let us remind the reader of Section 2.1, where we introduced Assumption $(\star)$ and established it in several cases.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$ that satisfies $(\star)$. If the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for some $\ell \neq p$, then*

- (1) *there exists a model of X that is a projective scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and whose geometric special fiber is a K3 surface with at worst RDP singularities.*
- (2) *There exists a finite and unramified extension L/K such that X_L has good reduction.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.5, there exists a finite Galois extension M/K , say, with group G and possibly ramified, such that there exists a smooth model of X_M

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_M.$$

Choose an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X . Then, by Proposition 3.2, we can replace $\mathcal{X}$ by another smooth model of X such that the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ to X_M restricts to an invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$ that is big and nef.

Let I_G be the inertia subgroup of G . By Proposition 4.5, the I_G -action extends to $\mathcal{X}$, and by Proposition 4.8, the induced I_G -action on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ is trivial.

From the short exact sequence (1) in Section 1.2, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow I_G \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1,$$

where P is the unique p -Sylow subgroup of I_G , and where T is cyclic of order prime to p . By definition, P is the wild inertia, and T is the tame inertia.

Being a p -group, P can be written as a successive extension of cyclic groups of order p . Now, the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$ is a K3 surface, and thus, possesses no non-trivial global derivations by the Rudakov–Shafarevich theorem [RS76]. Thus, applying Proposition 4.11 inductively, we obtain a smooth algebraic space

$$\mathcal{X}/P \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_M^P$$

with special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$, and which is a model of X_{M^P} .

Applying Proposition 4.9 to the residual T -action on $\mathcal{X}/P$, we obtain a smooth algebraic space

$$\mathcal{X}/I_G \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L, \quad \text{where } L := M^{I_G},$$

which is a model of X_L . Since L is a finite and unramified extension of K , this establishes claim (2).

The pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{X}/I_G$ is still ample on the generic fiber and big and nef when restricted to the special fiber. By Proposition 4.1, there exists a birational morphism over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$

$$\pi' : \mathcal{X}/I_G \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

that is an isomorphism on generic fibers, such that the geometric special fiber $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is a K3 surface with at worst RDP singularities, and such that the $H := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ -action on X_L extends to $\mathcal{Y}$. Since L/K is unramified, the morphism $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ is étale, from which it follows that the quotient $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}/H$ is étale. Thus, $\mathcal{Y}/H$ is a projective scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$, whose generic fiber is X and whose geometric special fiber is a K3 surface with at worst RDP singularities. This establishes claim (1). $\square$

Remark 5.2. Conclusion (2) of Theorem 5.1 *cannot* be strengthened: in the next section, we will give examples of K3 surfaces X over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with unramified $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -actions on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ that do *not* admit smooth models over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Remark 5.3. Unlike curves and Abelian varieties, even if a K3 surface has good reduction over L , then a smooth model of X_L over $\mathcal{O}_L$ need *not* be unique. However, by Proposition 3.3, the special fibers of all smooth models are isomorphic, and the models themselves are connected by finite sequences of flops.

If a smooth variety over K has good reduction over an unramified extension, then the G_K -actions on $H_{\text{ét}}^m(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are unramified for all m and for all $\ell \neq p$ by Theorem 1.4. Thus, as in the case of Abelian varieties in [ST68], we obtain the following independence of the auxiliary prime ℓ .

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$ that satisfies $(\star)$. Then, the G_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for one $\ell \neq p$ if and only if it is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$.*

We remark that this independence of ℓ can be also derived from the weaker criterion of [Mat15] (Theorem 2.5), combined with Ochiai's independence of traces [Oc99, Theorem B].

We leave the following easy consequence of Theorem 5.1 to the reader.

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a K3 surface over K such that the image of inertia*

$$\rho_\ell : I_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

is finite. If $p \neq 2$ and if X satisfies $(\star)$, then X has potential good reduction.

$\square$

If a g -dimensional Abelian variety over K with $p > 2g + 1$ has potential good reduction, then good reduction can be achieved over a *tame* extension of K by [ST68, Corollary 2 of Theorem 2]. We have the following analog for K3 surfaces:

Corollary 5.6. *Let X be a K3 surface over K with potential good reduction. If $p \geq 23$, then good reduction can be achieved after a tame extension.*

PROOF. The idea of proof is the same as for Abelian varieties in [ST68], we only adjust the arguments to our situation: since X is projective, there exists an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ defined over K , and then, its Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ gives rise to a G_K -invariant class in $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Let T_ℓ^2 be the orthogonal complement of $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to the Poincaré duality pairing. For $\ell \neq p$, we let

$$\rho_\ell : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell^2)$$

be the induced ℓ -adic Galois representation, and denote by

$$\text{red}_\ell : \text{GL}(T_\ell^2) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell^2 / \ell T_\ell^2)$$

its reduction modulo ℓ . As usual, we denote by I_K (resp., P_K) the inertia (resp., wild inertia) subgroup of G_K . Since X has potential good reduction, $\rho_\ell(I_K)$ is a finite group. Moreover, if ℓ is odd, since $\ker \text{red}_\ell$ has no non-trivial element of finite order, $\rho_\ell(I_K)$ is isomorphic to $\text{red}_\ell \circ \rho_\ell(I_K)$ via red_ℓ .

Now, suppose that $\rho_\ell(P_K)$ is non-trivial. Then, the order of $\text{red}_\ell \circ \rho_\ell(I_K)$ is divisible by p for all odd ℓ . In particular, if we set $n := \text{rank } T_\ell^2 = 21$, then p divides the order

$$|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| = \ell^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{s=1}^n (\ell^s - 1)$$

for all odd ℓ . By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, there exist infinitely many primes ℓ such that the residue class of ℓ modulo p generates the group $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$, which is of order $p - 1$. Choosing such an ℓ , we obtain the estimate $p - 1 \leq 21$. (When working directly with $H^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ instead of the primitive cohomology group T_ℓ^2 , we only get the estimate $p - 1 \leq 22$, which includes the prime $p = 23$.)

Thus, if $p \geq 23$, then $\rho_\ell(P_K)$ is trivial. But then, also the P_K -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is trivial. Thus, there exists a *tame* extension L/K such that the G_L -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified. By Theorem 5.1, there exists an unramified extension of L'/L such that $X_{L'}$ has good reduction. In particular, X has good reduction after a tame extension of K . $\square$

5.2. Kuga–Satake varieties. Given a polarized K3 surface $(X, \mathcal{L})$ over $\mathbb{C}$, Kuga and Satake [KS67] associated a polarized Abelian variety, the *Kuga–Satake Abelian variety* $A := \text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$, which is of dimension 2^{19} . Although their construction is transcendental, Rizov [Ri10] and Madapusi Pera [Mad13] extended the Kuga–Satake construction to arbitrary fields: namely, if $(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a polarized K3 surface over some field k , then $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ exists over some finite extension of k . This recalled, we have the following relation between good reduction of $(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $\text{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a polarized K3 surface over K with $p \neq 2$.*

- (1) *If X has good reduction, then $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be defined over an unramified extension of K , and it has good reduction.*
- (2) *Assume that X satisfies $(\star)$ and let L/K be a field extension such that $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be defined over L . If $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ has good reduction, then X has good reduction over an unramified extension of L .*

PROOF. (1) The pair $(X, \mathcal{L})$ gives rise to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{2d}^\circ$, where $\mathbf{M}_{2d}^\circ$ denotes the moduli space of primitively polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2d := \mathcal{L}^2$. By assumption, there exists a smooth model of X over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and by Proposition 3.2, there even exists a smooth model $\mathcal{X}$ of X over $\mathcal{O}_K$, such that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the special fiber is big and nef. Thus, the morphism $\mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{2d}^\circ$ extends to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{2d}$, where $\mathbf{M}_{2d}$ denotes the moduli space of primitively quasi-polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2d$. Passing to an unramified extension L/K of degree ≤ 2 if necessary, the previous classifying morphism extends to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2d}$, see [Mad13, Section 4]. Composing with the morphism $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{2d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Lambda_d)$ from [Mad13, Proposition 4.7], we obtain a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Lambda_d)$. We recall from [Mad13, Section 3] that there exists a finite and étale cover $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\Lambda_d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Lambda_d)$, such that the Kuga–Satake Abelian scheme is a relative Abelian scheme over $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\Lambda_d)$. Thus, after replacing L by a finite and unramified extension if necessary, we can lift the latter morphism to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\Lambda_d)$. Thus, we obtain a Kuga–Satake Abelian variety $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ over L that has good reduction, and where L is an unramified extension of K .

(2) By assumption, $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ is defined over L and has good reduction over L . Thus, the G_L -action on $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified. By the usual properties of the Kuga–Satake construction, there exists a G_L -equivariant embedding

$$P_\ell^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \left(H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})_{\overline{L}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \right),$$

where P_ℓ^2 denotes the orthogonal complement of $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ inside $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. This implies that also the G_L -action on P_ℓ^2 is unramified. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is defined over K , the G_L -action on the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -subvector space generated by $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ inside $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is trivial. From this, we conclude that the G_L -action on $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified. By Theorem 5.1, X has good reduction over an unramified extension of L . $\square$

Remark 5.8. If $(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a polarized K3 surface with good reduction, then the previous theorem asserts that $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be defined over an unramified extension L of K . Thus, if $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be descended to some field K' with $K \subseteq K' \subseteq L$ (so far, not much is known about fields of definition of Kuga–Satake Abelian varieties), then, since L/K' is unramified and since $\mathrm{KS}(X, \mathcal{L})$ has good reduction over L by the previous theorem, the descended Abelian variety will have good reduction over K' by [ST68].

6. COUNTER-EXAMPLES

In this final section we give examples of K3 surfaces X over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all $p \geq 5$ with unramified $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ that do *not* have good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In particular, the unramified extension from Theorem 5.1 needed to obtain good reduction may be non-trivial. The examples in question already appeared in [Mat15, Section 5.3], and rest on examples due to van Luijk [vL07, Section 3].

Example 6.1. Fix a prime $p \geq 5$. We choose integers a, c such that $a \not\equiv 0, \frac{27}{16} \pmod{p}$, such that $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and such that c is not a quadratic residue modulo p . Then, we choose a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z, w]$ of degree 3, such that the following congruences hold

$$\begin{aligned} f &\equiv \phi && \pmod{2} \\ f &\equiv x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + aw^3 && \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is as in Example 4.4. Finally, we define the quartic hypersurface

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}(p) := \left\{ wf + \left(pz^2 + xy + \frac{p}{2}yz \right)^2 - \frac{cp^2}{4}y^2z^2 = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^3,$$

and denote by $X = X(p)$ its generic fiber.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $p \geq 5$ and let X and $\mathcal{X}$ be as in Example 6.1. Then, X is a smooth K3 surface over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, such that*

- (1) *the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$,*
- (2) *$\mathcal{X}$ is a projective model of X over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, whose geometric special fiber is a K3 surface with RDP singularities of type A_1 ,*
- (3) *X has good reduction over the unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_p[\sqrt{c}]$,*
- (4) *X does not have good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

PROOF. Smoothness of X follows from considering the equations over $\mathbb{Z}$, reducing modulo 2 and checking smoothness there. Claims (2) and (3) are straight-forward computations (for (3), blow up the ideal $\mathcal{I}_+$ or $\mathcal{I}_-$ defined below), and since X has good reduction after an unramified extension, also claim (1) follows. We refer to [Mat15, Section 5.3] for computations and details.

To show claim (4), we argue by contradiction, and assume that there exists a smooth and proper algebraic space $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ with generic fiber X . Since the generic fibers of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ are isomorphic, such an isomorphism extends to a birational, but possibly rational map

$$\alpha : \mathcal{Z} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Next, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, for example, the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ from the ambient $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^3$. Restricting $\mathcal{L}$ to the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_\eta$, and pulling it back via α , we obtain an ample invertible sheaf $\alpha_\eta^*(\mathcal{L}_\eta)$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\eta$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$, this invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}_\eta$ extends uniquely to an invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}$ that we denote by $\mathcal{M}$.

By Proposition 3.2, there exists a rational and birational map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^+,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^+$ is another model of X with good reduction, and such that the transform $\mathcal{M}^+$ of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^+$ is ample on the generic fiber, and big and nef on the special fiber. We denote by $\alpha^+ : \mathcal{Z}^+ \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the composition $\alpha \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then,

$$\mathcal{Z}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}^+)' := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{Z}^+, (\mathcal{M}^+)^{\otimes n})$$

is a birational morphism that contracts precisely those curves on the special fiber $\mathcal{Z}_0^+$ that have zero-intersection with $\mathcal{M}_0^+$, and nothing else. By construction, we have $(\alpha_\eta^+)^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{M}^+$ and thus, by [Kov09, Theorem 5.14], there exists an isomorphism $(\mathcal{Z}^+)' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$ over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Thus, we have shown that the model $\mathcal{X}$ admits a simultaneous resolution $\alpha^+ : \mathcal{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of singularities over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. But then, let $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational singular point, for example, the point $x = w = y + z = 0$. Then, let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}}$ be the strict local ring, and denote by $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}})$ its Picard group. Then, α^+ induces a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -equivariant surjection $(R^1 \alpha_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^+}^*)_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}})$. However, this is impossible for the following reason:

- (1) The group $(R^1 \alpha_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^+}^*)_{\bar{x}}$ is generated by the class of the exceptional curve, which is $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational, and thus the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on it is trivial.
- (2) The $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}})$ is non-trivial. More precisely, if we define the following ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}}$

$$\mathcal{I}_\pm := \left(w, \left(pz^2 + xy + \frac{p}{2}yz \right) \pm \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}pyz \right),$$

then their classes in $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}})$ satisfy $[\mathcal{I}_+] = -[\mathcal{I}_-] \neq [\mathcal{I}_-]$, and since $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}_p[\sqrt{c}]$ as $\sqrt{c} \mapsto -\sqrt{c}$, the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \bar{x}})$ is non-trivial.

This contradiction shows that X does not have good reduction over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and establishes claim (4). $\square$

Remark 6.3. This example is the one the second named author gave in [Mat15, Section 5.3]. There, the choice of ϕ ensured that X was a smooth K3 surface, as well of Picard number one. In the present paper, we only need smoothness, and therefore, could have used a simpler polynomial. The same remark holds for Example 4.4.

REFERENCES

- [Ar70] M. Artin, *Algebraization of Formal Moduli: II. Existence of Modifications*, Annals of Math. 91, 88–135 (1970).
- [Ar73] M. Artin, *Théorèmes de représentabilité pour les espaces algébriques*, En collaboration avec Alexandru Lascu et Jean-François Boutot; Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, No. 44 (Été, 1970) Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Que. (1973)

- [Ar74] M. Artin, *Algebraic construction of Brieskorn's resolutions*, J. Algebra 29, 330–348 (1974).
- [Ba01] L. Bădescu, *Algebraic Surfaces*, Springer Universitext (2001).
- [BM2] E. Bombieri, D. Mumford, *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. II*, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 23–42, Iwanami Shoten (1977).
- [BM3] E. Bombieri, D. Mumford, *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. III*, Invent. Math. 35, 197–232 (1976).
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 21, Springer (1990).
- [CJS13] V. Cossart, U. Jannsen, S. Saito, *Canonical embedded and non-embedded resolution of singularities for excellent two-dimensional schemes*, arXiv:0905.2191v2 (2013).
- [CP14] V. Cossart, O. Piltant, *Resolution of Singularities of Arithmetical Threefolds II*, arXiv:1412.0868 (2014).
- [CS09] S. Cynk, D. van Straten, *Small resolutions and non-liftable Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Manuscripta Math. 130, 233–249 (2009).
- [DM69] P. Deligne, D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 36, 75–109 (1969).
- [SGA4] A. Grothendieck et al., *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*. (SGA 4), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 269, 270, 305, Springer (1973).
- [SGA4½] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*. (SGA 4½), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 569, Springer (1977).
- [SGA7] A. Grothendieck et al., *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I*, (SGA 7 I), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 288, Springer (1972).
- [HT14] B. Hassett, Y. Tschinkel, *Rational points on K3 surfaces and derived equivalence*, arXiv:1411.6259v1 (2014).
- [Ka94] Y. Kawamata, *Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic*, J. Algebraic Geom. 3, 463–491 (1994).
- [KKMS73] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 339, Springer (1973).
- [Ke12] J. Keum, *Orders of automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, arXiv:1203.5616v9 (2012).
- [KL13] F. Király, W. Lütkebohmert, *Group actions of prime order on local normal rings*, Algebra and Number Theory 7, 63–74 (2013).
- [Kn71] D. Knutson, *Algebraic Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 203, Springer (1971).
- [Kol89] J. Kollár, *Flops*, Nagoya Math. J. 113, 15–36 (1989).
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 134, Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [Kov09] S. Kovacs, *Young person's guide to moduli of higher dimensional varieties*, Algebraic geometry – Seattle 2005. Part 2, 711–743, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., AMS (2009).
- [KS67] M. Kuga, I. Satake, *Abelian varieties attached to polarized K3-surfaces*, Math. Ann. 169, 239–242 (1967).
- [Ku77] V. Kulikov, *Degenerations of K3 surfaces and Enriques surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 41 no.5, 1008–1042 (1977).
- [Lip69] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 36, 195–279 (1969).
- [Liu02] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford University Press (2002).
- [LZ14] Y. Liu, W. Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for Artin stacks*, arXiv:1211.5948v2 (2014).

- [vL07] R. van Luijk, *K3 surfaces with Picard number one and infinitely many rational points*, Algebra Number Theory 1, 1–15 (2007).
- [Mad13] K. Madapusi Pera, *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic*, arXiv:1301.6326 (2013).
- [Mat15] Y. Matsumoto, *Good reduction criterion for K3 surfaces*, Math. Z. 279, 241–266 (2015).
- [MM64] T. Matsusaka, D. Mumford, *Two fundamental theorems on deformations of polarized varieties*, Amer. J. Math. 86, 668–684 (1964).
- [Mau14] D. Maulik, *Supersingular K3 surfaces for large primes*, Duke Math. J. 163, 2357–2425 (2014).
- [Mo81] D. R. Morrison, *Semistable Degenerations of Enriques’ and Hyperelliptic Surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 48, 197–249 (1981).
- [Mu70] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, Oxford University Press (1970).
- [Naka00] C. Nakayama, *Degeneration of ℓ -adic weight spectral sequences*, Amer. J. Math. 122, 721–733 (2000).
- [Nakk00] Y. Nakkajima, *Liftings of simple normal crossing log K3 and log Enriques surfaces in mixed characteristics*, J. Algebraic Geom. 9, 355–393 (2000).
- [Oc99] T. Ochiai, *l -independence of the trace of monodromy*, Math. Ann. 315, 321–340 (1999).
- [Od95] T. Oda, *A note on ramification of the Galois representation on the fundamental group of an algebraic curve. II*, J. Number Theory 53, 342–355 (1995).
- [Og79] A. Ogus, *Supersingular K3 crystals*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes, Asterisque 64, 3–86 (1979).
- [Pe77] U. Persson, *On degenerations of algebraic surfaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 11, no. 189 (1977).
- [PP81] U. Persson, H. Pinkham, *Degeneration of surfaces with trivial canonical bundle*, Ann. of Math. (2) 113, 45–66 (1981).
- [RZ82] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik*, Invent. Math. 68, 21–101 (1982).
- [Ri10] J. Rizov, *Kuga–Satake abelian varieties of K3 surfaces in mixed characteristic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 648, 13–67 (2010).
- [RS76] A. N. Rudakov, I. R. Shafarevich, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 40, 1269–1307 (1976).
- [Sa03] T. Saito, *Weight spectral sequences and independence of l* , J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2, 583–634 (2003).
- [ST68] J.-P. Serre, J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) 88, 492–517 (1968).
- [St76] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Limits of Hodge structures*, Invent. Math. 31, 229–257 (1976).
- [Wa82] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Second Edition, GTM 83, Springer (1982).
- [We10] S. Wewers, *Regularity of quotients by an automorphism of order p* , arXiv:1001.0607 (2010).

TU MÜNCHEN, ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK - M11, BOLTZMANNSTR. 3, D-85748 GARCHING BEI MÜNCHEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: liedtke@ma.tum.de

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA MEGURO-KU TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: ymatsu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp